Introduction

Longitudinal melanonychia (LM) is a clinical condition characterized by vertical linear brown or black hyperpigmented streaks visible in the nail plate (1). It can be congenital or acquired. LM in children under 12 is uncommon in any race and it is especially rarely seen in Caucasians (3). The most common location for LM is on the thumb and third finger. Involvement of the toes is exceptional (2, 3). The condition is caused by increased production of melanin in the nail matrix, which is later deposited in the nail plate (4). Melanocytes are melanin-producing cells located in the epidermis, but they are not equally distributed in the human body. The density of melanocytes in the skin is approximately 1,150/mm², and in the nail matrix the number is significantly lower, only 200/mm². Generally, the melanocytes in the proximal nail matrix do not actively produce melanin; however, around 50% of the melanocytes in the distal nail matrix do. Even fewer melanocytes are located in the nail bed; they are either not present at all, or up to 50/mm² and do not actively produce melanin. This explains why subungual melanoma in the nail bed epithelium usually turns out to be amelanotic and consequently a diagnostic challenge (5). Histologically, LM can be caused by focal melanocyte activation, melanocyte hyperplasia, a nail matrix (lentigo) nevus, or nail matrix (malignant) melanoma (4). Clinical differentiation between the potential causes of LM still poses a great diagnostic challenge because clinical findings can resemble those seen in malignant lesions, and therefore a pathological study is sometimes required. Congenital LM is usually benign and is mostly caused by a nail matrix nevus. Not many cases of subungual malignant melanoma in the pediatric population have been reported because the condition is very uncommon. Most of the published case reports have involved highly pigmented and Asian races (6).

Case report

A 20-month-old boy with skin phototype 2 (blond hair and blue eyes) presented with a nail streak of LM clearly visible on his third left toe. His parents stated that the lesion was congenital. The boy was generally healthy, without any chronic illnesses. He did not have any other melanocytic skin nevi. Malignant melanoma was not present in the family history. The history of trauma was negative. Examination revealed brown lines forming a streak in the medial 30% of the nail plate (Fig. 1). Dermatoscopic examination of the nail displayed dark brown linear hyperpigmented lines, with irregular color involving the entire length of the nail.
The darker brown discoloration was present on the proximal and distal part of the hyperpigmented band. The spacing between the lines was regular. There was no evident discoloration of the skin around the nail, although the hyperpigmented streak of LM was easily seen through the translucent cuticle; this condition is also known as pseudo-Hutchinson's sign (Fig. 2). The diagnosis of a congenital nail matrix nevus was established on clinical grounds. A wait-and-see policy was taken. One-year follow-up examinations were advised. The parents were informed to seek an immediate follow-up examination in the case of extension of the lesion, darkening color, or periungual involvement of the affected nail.

Discussion

The main concern in the case presented was excluding subungual malignant melanoma. There are several diagnostic approaches to consider in the case presented. One of them is a wait-and-see policy, which was preferred in our case, and the second one is longitudinal excisional biopsy (4, 7, 8). Biopsy and a histological examination is the only diagnostic method that can verify the diagnosis of a benign nail matrix nevus. In the case reported, a biopsy was not performed because excision of medial lesions in the proximal nail matrix can have an unacceptable outcome, resulting in irreversible nail dystrophy. Furthermore, the biopsy sample is only indicative of a minor segment of the nevus, and its interpretation can be deceiving (9). The most important reason to decide against it was the fact, supported by most published cases, that LM is essentially benign, especially in the congenital form. Less than 0.5% of malignant melanomas are present in prepubertal children (10). Subungual malignant melanoma is extremely uncommon and mostly appears in middle-aged patients over age 50 (9). In very young Caucasian children, a biopsy is not always necessary because the characteristics of the nevus can be predicted on clinical basis (11). The signs cited as indicative of early subungual melanoma are a hyperpigmented streak wider than 6 mm, dystrophic changes of the nail, its onset after middle age, and the expansion of dark pigmentation from the band of LM into the adjoining cuticle and proximal or lateral nailfolds (Hutchinson's sign). Hutchinson's sign by itself is not pathognomonic, but it is an especially valuable predictor of malignant subungual melanoma (12). None of these factors were present in our case. To our knowledge, reports of congenital LM with absent signs of malignancy transforming into an invasive melanoma during childhood have not been reported yet. A malignant transformation in adult life is still possible, but is highly unlikely (13). Regular 1-year follow-up examinations are therefore required. It is necessary to stress the importance of an immediate examination in the case of expansion, change in color, or periungual involvement of the LM. Parents should also educate children with LM to watch for any alteration of the lesion throughout their entire lives.
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