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Abstract

Introduction: Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination was included in the national vaccination program in Slovenia in the 2009/2010 school year. It is recommended for girls attending the sixth grade of primary school only and is fully state funded. Based on the initiative of school medicine specialists, Idrija and Cerkno were the first two municipalities to offer municipally sponsored HPV vaccination to boys in the 2014/2015 school year, showing promising results.

Methods: Our aim was to evaluate HPV vaccination coverage among boys attending the sixth grade who received the municipally sponsored HPV vaccine. Data were collected from corresponding physicians from different municipalities. Boys who received less than two doses of HPV vaccine or were HPV vaccinated against payment were excluded from this analysis.

Results: The number of municipalities that offer sponsored HPV vaccination of boys increased from two in 2014/2015 to 10 in the 2017/2018 school year. Although the proportion of vaccinated boys was initially relatively low, most municipalities attained at least 50% vaccination coverage rates, which is similar to the current HPV vaccination coverage of girls in Slovenia.

Conclusions: Our data show that the outstanding local initiative by several pediatricians and school medicine specialists can result in HPV vaccine coverage rates of boys that are comparable to or even higher than those in the national vaccination program for girls. Because acceptance of HPV vaccination among boys in Slovenia is adequate, we believe that the inclusion of boys in the national vaccination program, predicted to occur in the 2020/2021 school year, will yield significant results.

Keywords: HPV, vaccination, boys, municipally sponsored vaccine, Slovenia

Received: 3 May 2019 | Returned for modification: 19 May 2019 | Accepted: 31 May 2019

Introduction

Infection with human papillomaviruses (HPV) is the most common sexually transmitted infection, affecting both men and women. Infection with high-risk HPV types (mainly HPV16 and HPV18) can lead to the development of various HPV-related precancerous and cancerous lesions, contributing to a considerable proportion of the global cancer burden among both sexes (1). Whereas cervical cancer is the second most common cancer to affect women aged 15 to 44 in the European Union, men are disproportionately affected by HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer (1, 2). In addition, anogenital warts and recurrent respiratory papillomatosis also affect both sexes. The burden of anogenital warts etiologically linked with low-risk HPV types (mainly HPV6 and HPV11) is staggering—approximately 680,344 to 844,391 new cases of anogenital warts could have been prevented per year in Europe only using the quadrivalent/nonavalent HPV vaccine (3).

Approximately half of countries worldwide, including all members of European Union, have implemented HPV vaccination into their national vaccination programs (4). In Slovenia, HPV vaccination was introduced into the national vaccination program in the 2009/2010 school year. It became the first non-mandatory state-funded vaccine in Slovenia, recommended for girls attending the sixth grade of primary school. Despite being fully state funded, the uptake of HPV vaccine among Slovenian girls remains around 50%, with marked differences among different regions and even among different municipalities within the same region (5).

Although initially promoted as a vaccine against cervical cancer, it has become clear in recent years that the prevention potential of HPV vaccines far exceeds its original indications. However, similarly to Slovenia, the majority of national HPV vaccination programs in Europe provide free HPV vaccination to girls only (3, 4). Despite initiatives by pediatricians and school medicine specialists, and overwhelming data on the importance of gender-neutral vaccination, especially if vaccination coverage of girls is below 50% (6), boys are not yet included in the Slovenian national vaccination program. Moreover, due to the suboptimal HPV vaccination coverage of girls, it is highly unlikely that significant herd immunity has been established in the Slovenian population. Thus, following the previous success of municipally sponsored HPV vaccination for girls before the advent of the national HPV immunization program, some school medicine specialists decided to apply for municipal funds to cover the costs of vaccinating boys. Idrija and Cerkno were the first municipalities to offer sponsored HPV vaccination to boys in the 2014/2015 school year, showing promising results. Other municipalities soon followed. Hence, the aim of this study was to evaluate the acceptance and HPV vaccine coverage rates among boys that were offered the municipally sponsored HPV vaccine in Slovenia.

Methods

Only municipalities that provided free vaccination with at least two doses of quadrivalent (2014/2015 and 2015/2016 school year) or nonavalent (2016/2017 and 2017/2018 school years) HPV vaccine were included in the study. Similar to girls, boys were offered municipally funded HPV vaccination at the preventive care visit in the sixth grade after obtaining a parent’s consent. In order to evaluate HPV vaccination coverage rates among boys attending the sixth grade, each of the corresponding pediatricians and/or...
school medicine specialists from all municipalities that provided municipally sponsored HPV vaccination were contacted. The medical records of all eligible boys attending the sixth grade were reviewed and data on the vaccination status were recorded. Boys who received fewer than two doses of HPV vaccine or were HPV-vaccinated against payment were excluded from this analysis. All data were analyzed anonymously.

Results

The map of Slovenian municipalities that provide municipally funded HPV vaccination for boys is presented in Figure 1. The number of municipalities that offer sponsored HPV vaccination to boys increased from two in 2014/2015 to 10 in the 2017/2018 school year (Fig. 1). The greatest increase in the number of municipalities providing sponsored HPV vaccination for boys was seen in the 2016/2017 school year, when six municipalities decided on HPV vaccination. Table 1 presents the total number of eligible boys and the proportion of HPV-vaccinated boys in each municipality. Although the proportion of vaccinated boys was initially relatively low, ranging from 19% to 33%, HPV vaccine coverage rates among boys increased during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018, with five out of eight municipalities reaching over half of eligible boys in 2016/2017, which is similar to the overall HPV vaccination coverage rates of girls attending the sixth grade and participating in the national vaccination program. In the following school year, HPV vaccination coverage rates remained relatively stable, with the most pronounced increase in HPV vaccination rate among boys from the Municipality of Ormož (from 27% to 69%).

Discussion

In the 2006/2007 school year, shortly after first HPV vaccine became available, several school medicine specialists and pediatricians in Slovenia successfully applied for municipal funds to provide HPV vaccination for girls before HPV vaccination became part of the national vaccination program. When HPV vaccination was finally included in the national program in the 2009/2010 school year, all efforts focused on obtaining the highest possible vaccination coverage rates among girls attending the sixth grade. With mounting evidence regarding the burden of HPV-related disease and suboptimal vaccination coverage rates among girls in the national vaccination program, it has become clear that boys also need to be vaccinated against HPV. Based on the success of the initial efforts for girls, the same physicians again applied for municipal funds, this time to cover expenses for HPV vaccination of boys.

With this study we have shown that physicians, municipalities, and parents are inclined toward HPV vaccination of boys. Despite being available only since the 2014/2015 school year, municipally funded HPV vaccination for boys has achieved admirable results: the number of municipalities that offer sponsored HPV vaccine for boys increased from two to 10 in just 4 years (Fig. 1). Although sponsored HPV vaccination is usually more accessible in high-income countries and/or regions, we have shown that even small municipalities with a limited municipal budget can secure enough funds for HPV vaccination of boys. We are fully aware that this approach is far from ideal; it is not sustainable, and it creates substantial inequities based on where boys are attending prima-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Slovenian municipalities that provide free HPV vaccination for boys and corresponding HPV vaccine coverage rates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014/15 school year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality</td>
<td>Total boys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idrija</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerkeno</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mislinja</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenj Gradec</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormož</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radenci</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sv. Jurij ob Ščavnici</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apače</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gornja Radgona</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagorje ob Savi</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postojna</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

– = no municipally sponsored HPV vaccination for boys.
ry school. Although inclusion of boys in the Slovenian national HPV vaccination program is the most optimal strategic approach because it eliminates social and gender inequities, municipally sponsored HPV vaccination of boys may, in the meantime, serve as a bridge to gender-neutral vaccination.

Almost all municipalities reached at least 50% vaccination coverage rates (Table 1). The substantial increase in HPV vaccination coverage from 27% to 69% in the Municipality of Ormož clearly shows that HPV vaccination rates are largely influenced by the knowledge of and attitude toward HPV vaccination of the school medicine specialist or pediatrician that provides the immunization program in a particular school. Moreover, data from this study clearly disprove one of the main concerns raised by some skeptics: that if boys were also included in the national HPV vaccination program, the coverage rates would be even lower than the already relatively low coverage rate of girls, claiming inclusion of boys in the national HPV vaccination program would yield no additional benefits. However, we have shown that the acceptance of municipally sponsored HPV vaccination of boys is comparable to or even higher than the national coverage in girls. Furthermore, some physicians who vaccinated these boys indeed also started to notice a trend toward higher HPV vaccine acceptance among girls following implementation of gender-neutral vaccination (data not shown). This is in line with previous reports suggesting that the acceptance of HPV vaccine might increase if the vaccine is offered to both sexes (7). Moreover, a recent Swedish study (8) showed that boys are generally in favor of free HPV vaccination within the national vaccination program. The reasons for vaccine acceptance were mainly altruistic; that is, promotion of public health, prevention of HPV-related neoplasms, and protection of their sexual partners. Notably, boys also perceived gender-neutral HPV vaccination as a matter of equal rights, particularly because HPV-related diseases affect both sexes (8). In addition, a pan-European cross-sectional survey on parental attitudes toward male HPV vaccination has shown that, following brief information about HPV-related disease in both sexes, the majority of parents are in favor of HPV vaccination of their sons with acceptance rates that were similar to those in girls (9). Our results are in line with these observations, showing a positive attitude of parents toward gender-neutral HPV vaccination.

Unfortunately, HPV vaccination coverage of girls remains low to moderate in most countries with a nationally implemented HPV vaccination program, with only 1.4% of all eligible females worldwide having received a full course of HPV vaccination (10, 11). It has previously been shown that girls-only HPV vaccination programs have the potential to induce herd effects if the coverage is high, also extending the protection to unvaccinated females and/or males (12). Interestingly, reductions in the incidence of anogenital warts were noted even in countries where only approximately 30% of eligible girls were vaccinated against HPV, although these reductions were significantly less evident than in countries with high overall coverage, such as Australia or Scotland (13, 14), with no indication of cross-protection or herd effects (15, 16). Thus, gender-neutral vaccination of early adolescents has the potential to maximize herd effects against vaccine HPV types in countries with low to moderate vaccination coverage. Moreover, high coverage in men appears to be crucial for also providing a substantial public health benefit to unvaccinated women (15, 16).

Since the approval of the first HPV vaccine in 2006, there has been an increasing body of evidence regarding the burden of HPV-related cancers in men (18). In 2009, the Food and Drug Admin-
lent HPV vaccine and vaccination of boys and people living with HIV. The draft conclusions state that the addition of males to cur- rent national female-only HPV vaccination programs becomes increasingly more cost-effective with persistently lower vaccination coverage among females and lower HPV vaccine cost. Moreover, it has become clear that, if the objective of the HPV vaccination program is to prevent all HPV-associated disease, gender-neutral HPV vaccination seems to be the most cost-effective strategy (26). Hence, we believe that gender-neutral HPV vaccination should be promptly implemented in the Slovenian national program vaccination program.

Conclusions

The exclusion of preadolescent boys from national HPV immunization programs has raised equity concerns: HPV vaccines have the ability to prevent HPV-related diseases in both sexes, especially in countries with girls-only programs where the HPV vaccine uptake has been too low to establish full indirect herd protection like in countries with girls-only programs where the HPV vaccine uptake has been too low to establish full indirect herd protection of men. The proportion of boys receiving municipally sponsored HPV vaccination in Slovenia during the last few years has ranged from 25% to 69%, suggesting that a significant proportion of boys can be reached using this approach. Our data thus reaffirm the fact that implementation of gender-neutral HPV vaccination in Slovenia will provide important additional protection against HPV-related disease in vaccine-eligible cohorts. In May 2019, the implementation process of gender-neutral HPV vaccination finally started in Slovenia. It is predicted that boys could be included in the national vaccination scheme from 2020/21 onward. Although municipally sponsored HPV vaccination of boys is not an optimal solution, it may serve as a bridge to gender-neutral vaccination.
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