Targetoid erythema surrounding multiple seborrheic keratoses induced by chemotherapy with gemcitabine
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Abstract

The cutaneous adverse effects of gemcitabine include allergic skin rash frequently associated with pruritus, alopecia, sweating, dermatitis with boils, and ulcerations. We report the case of a patient that developed inflammation of seborrheic keratoses after gemcitabine treatment.
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Introduction

Seborrheic keratosis is the most common benign skin tumor of middle-aged and elderly adults. Seborrheic verrucae are benign skin lesions, usually brownish, that are mainly located on the chest but can develop in any skin region (1).

Chemotherapy of internal neoplasms or eczemas may lead to the onset of seborrheic keratosis or promote their inflammation (2). The literature includes numerous adverse effects of chemotherapy drugs on the skin, and in particular several cases of nucleoside analogues (such as gemcitabine) known to cause inflammation of seborrheic keratosis (3–6).

The authors report a case of inflammation of seborrheic keratosis after administration of gemcitabine.

Case report

A 78-year-old woman with right lung adenocarcinoma (Stage IV), diagnosed in April 2018, came to our attention for a newly emerging erythematous purple-colored skin rash spread all over the back. Clinically numerous preexisting seborrheic keratoses were clearly evident on the patient’s back, and the rash spared the perillesional skin around these. She denied having taken new drugs in the last month with the exception of chemotherapy; in fact, she received six cycles of chemotherapy with gemcitabine and cisplatin from September until October 2018, and subsequently she developed intensive itching, erythema, edema, and scaling in multiple preexisting seborrheic keratoses.

On physical examination, an erythematous rash was observed on the back, especially on some seborrheic keratoses on which targetoid lesions with saving of the skin surrounding keratosis were observed (Fig. 1a). The patient did not have a fever, but only asthenia and generalized itching.

A dermoscopic examination (20×, Dermatoscope DermLite 3Gen) was carried out and showed dotted and linear vessels and a purplish-erythematous background surrounding seborrheic keratosis. The numerous seborrheic keratoses were dermoscopically confirmed based on evidence of classic criteria: milia-like cysts, comedo-like openings, gyri and sulci, and network-like structures (Fig. 1b).

In our case we did not consider it appropriate to resort to a histological examination for diagnosis because today dermoscopy is a diagnostic tool with very high sensitivity and specificity for most skin lesions. Therefore, evaluating the history, as well as the clinical and dermoscopic examination of the skin manifestation, we considered it unnecessary to perform a biopsy.

Diagnosis of seborrheic keratosis with targetoid inflammation related to chemotherapy was made, and a cycle of methylprednisolone 40 mg to scale for 10 days was started, resulting in complete resolution of the clinical cutaneous manifestations.

Discussion

Many adverse skin events to chemotherapy drugs are described in the literature and, in particular, nucleoside analog drugs such as gemcitabine are known to cause inflammation of seborrheic keratoses. Based on the scientific evidence and the absence in the literature of a correlation between cisplatin and targetoid reactions, we considered the skin reaction described to have most likely been caused by gemcitabine.

Inflammation of seborrheic keratoses is a rarely described cutaneous reaction of chemotherapy (7), and in the literature there...
is only one previous case report mentioning this skin reaction (8), in which a patient with pancreatic cancer received chemotherapy with gemcitabine and developed an inflammatory reaction on preexisting seborrheic keratoses.

Cases of inflammation of seborrheic keratoses induced by other chemotherapy drugs such as cytarabine, 5-fluorouracil, docetaxel, vincristine, and doxorubicin are described (3–6), but as reported in the literature these are predominantly nucleoside analogues.

The majority of systemic adverse effects of chemotherapy are most often predictable, such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, decreased white blood cells, decreased immune defenses, and anemia, as well as common side effects on the skin and annexes: alopecia, erosive stomatitis, or aphthous ulcers. There are also other side effects such as inflammation of benign skin tumors, which is more rarely observed and less commonly diagnosed than side effects of chemotherapy (9).

The mechanism of action causing this side effect is not known; it has been hypothesized that cytotoxic damage directed against keratinocytes may be at work, whereas in other studies an infiltrate of lymphocytes into the dermis appears to be the cause of these skin lesions (7).

It is possible that chemotherapy treatment may involve changes in dosage or the method of administration (e.g., a cycle may be postponed for a week) precisely because of the toxic effects.

Conclusions

In the case we describe, it was not necessary to interrupt the chemotherapy because, although the clinical presentation was very extensive, it responded well to systemic corticosteroid therapy. For the dermatologist it is essential to recognize at an early stage the adverse effects caused by chemotherapy agents for early drug treatment without the need to stop the oncological therapy.
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